

## MEMO# 33828

October 18, 2021

## ICI Global Letter to the Securities and Exchange Board of India on the T+1 Settlement Cycle Circular

[33828]

October 18, 2021

TO: ICI Members
ICI Global Members

Global Operations Advisory Committee

Global Operations Advisory Committee - Asia

Global Regulated Funds Committee

Global Regulated Funds Committee - Asia

Global Trading & Markets Committee

Securities Operations Advisory Committee SUBJECTS: International/Global

Operations

Settlement RE: ICI Global Letter to the Securities and Exchange Board of India on the T+1 Settlement Cycle Circular

On October 18, 2021, ICI Global submitted the attached letter to the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) regarding SEBI's circular[1] introducing T+1 rolling settlement on an optional basis for Indian equities with an effective date of January 1, 2022.

In the letter we commend SEBI for taking action to improve the post trade infrastructure in the Indian equity market and support the objective of moving to T+1 settlement. We, however, strongly urge SEBI to delay the implementation timeline by 18 months and, concurrently, to further consider how the move to T+1 settlement should be adjusted to better fit the unique needs of FPIs. We explain the potential impact of a T+1 settlement cycle on FPIs investing in the market, and why a delay would provide FPIs and other market participants adequate time to review, adjust, and test their processes ahead of a transition. Finally, we request that SEBI grant an exemption to FPIs from the optional T+1 settlement cycle if SEBI does not delay the implementation date.

Ahmed Elghazaly
Director, Securities Operations

## Eva M. Mykolenko Associate Chief Counsel - Securities Regulation

## endnotes

[1] Available at

 $\frac{https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/sep-2021/introduction-of-t-1-rolling-settlement-on-an-optional-basis\_52462.html.$ 

Copyright © by the Investment Company Institute. All rights reserved. Information may be abridged and therefore incomplete. Communications from the Institute do not constitute, and should not be considered a substitute for, legal advice.