

**MEMO# 5426** 

December 28, 1993

## NOTICE OF MEETING RE CANADA ON JANUARY 11

December 30, 1993 TO: INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE NO. 27-93 RE: NOTICE OF MEETING
RE CANADA ON JANUARY 11 The
International Committee will meet at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, January 11, 1994, to discuss
recent developments in Canada. As you know, the Institute has worked in recent months to
obtain changes in Ontario law and practice that would permit a U.S. adviser without a
Canadian business presence to manage a publicly-offered Canadian mutual fund. (See
Memorandum to International Committee No. 14-93, dated June 21, 1993.) The Ontario
Securities Commission staff recently indicated to the Institute that the OSC may be willing
to permit a U.Sbased adviser full registered investment counsel/portfolio manager status if
it complies with all applicable regulatory standards and satisfies the OSC that an
appropriate level of proficiency is met. At the January 11 meeting, we will discuss the three
outstanding issues identified to date by the OSC staff: a) recordkeeping, b) appointment of
an agent for service and c) proficiency requirements. In particular, we will address what the
Institute should propose to the OSC as an appropriate standard to apply to U.S. advisers in
lieu of the OSC's proficiency requirements. Please contact Theresa Brice at (202) 326-5833
or by fax at (202) 326-5899 as soon as possible, to let us know if you will be able to attend.
The meeting will be held at the J.W. Marriott Hotel, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., which
is near the Institute's new offices at 1401 H Street, N.W. Lunch will be served. Angela C.
Goelzer Associate Counsel Please fax this portion to Theresa Brice, Investment Company
Institute, (202-326-5899) YES NO INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE MEETING 10:00
a.m., Tuesday, January 11, 1994 Lunch or
COMMITTEE MEMBER'S NAME ALTERNATE'S NAME
COMPANY NAME

Copyright © by the Investment Company Institute. All rights reserved. Information may be abridged and therefore incomplete. Communications from the Institute do not constitute, and should not be considered a substitute for, legal advice.