

MEMO# 13659

June 21, 2001

DRAFT INSTITUTE COMMENT LETTER ON NASDR REQUEST FOR COMMENT ON MODERNIZING NASD RULES

[13659] June 21, 2001 TO: ADVERTISING COMPLIANCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE No. 6-01 EQUITY MARKETS ADVISORY COMMITTEE No. 20-01 SEC RULES COMMITTEE No. 48-01 RE: DRAFT INSTITUTE COMMENT LETTER ON NASDR REQUEST FOR COMMENT ON MODERNIZING NASD RULES As we previously informed you, 1 NASD Regulation has issued a Special Notice to Members2 requesting comment on steps that can be taken to streamline NASD rules. The Institute has prepared the attached draft comment letter in response to the Notice to Members. The request for comment is a continuation of a 1998 NASDR review of NASD rules to determine whether any NASD rules or by-laws (1) should be repealed because they are obsolete or otherwise unnecessary; (2) should be modernized in light of technological or industry developments; or (3) should distinguish between retail and institutional customers in their application. At that time, the Institute filed a comment letter recommending specific changes to NASD rules in the areas of: advertising and sales literature; confirmations; forwarding proxy materials; the corporate financing rule; selling concessions; and cash compensation. The attached draft letter updates and supplements our previous comments. Comments are due to NASDR by Monday, July 2, 2001. If you have any comments on the draft Institute letter, please provide them to the undersigned by phone at (202) 371-5432, by fax at (202) 326-5827, or by e-mail at kireland@ici.org no later than Thursday, June 28. Kathy D. Ireland Associate Counsel Attachment 1 See Memorandum to Advertising Compliance Advisory Committee No. 5-01, Equity Markets Advisory Committee No. 16-01, and SEC Rules Committee No. 41-01, dated May 29, 2001. 2 Special NASD Notice to Members 01-35 (May 2001). 2Attachment (in .pdf format)

Copyright © by the Investment Company Institute. All rights reserved. Information may be abridged and therefore incomplete. Communications from the Institute do not constitute, and should not be considered a substitute for, legal advice.