

MEMO# 12032

June 20, 2000

## REVISED DRAFT COMMENT LETTER ON PROPOSED SECTION 411(D)(6) RELIEF

[12032] June 20, 2000 TO: PENSION COMMITTEE No. 42-00 PENSION OPERATIONS ADVISORY COMMITTEE No. 43-00 PARTICIPANTS IN MAY 4TH AND JUNE 12TH CONFERENCE CALLS RE: REVISED DRAFT COMMENT LETTER ON PROPOSED SECTION 411(D)(6) RELIEF Attached is a revised draft of the Institute's comment letter on the Internal Revenue Service's proposed regulations providing special rules under section 411(d)(6) to permit the elimination of certain extended distribution forms offered in a defined contribution plan and other relief. The draft letter has been revised to respond to issues raised on a June 12 conference call. In general, we have tried to strengthen the argument that the relief should require only that a plan offer a lump sum distribution option and not be required to retain any extended distribution form at all. We have redrafted section II addressing individuals near retirement to state that no special protections are needed for these individuals when extended distribution forms are eliminated, because given a lump sum distribution option, they can easily replicate any eliminated distribution form. Responding to discussion on June 12, we also have eliminated the section addressing elective transfers. Finally, we have made numerous technical and editing changes throughout, including clarifying that the section on in-kind securities addresses an issue raised by the examples in the proposed regulation, not a requirement proposed in the body of the regulation. Please review this final, revised draft and provide comments to me by Friday morning, June 23. The letter must be filed on June 27. You can provide me with comments by telephone at (202) 326-5835, fax at (202) 326-5841, or e-mail at rgaler@ici.org. Russell G. Galer Senior Counsel Attachment Attachment (in .pdf format)

Copyright © by the Investment Company Institute. All rights reserved. Information may be abridged and therefore incomplete. Communications from the Institute do not constitute, and should not be considered a substitute for, legal advice.