

MEMO# 6281

October 12, 1994

DEVELOPMENTS ON SOURCE STATE TAXATION LEGISLATION

October 12, 1994 TO: OPERATIONS MEMBERS NO. 35-94 PENSION MEMBERS NO. 33-94
TRANSFER AGENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE NO. 42-94 RE: DEVELOPMENTS ON SOURCE
STATE TAXATION LEGISLATION _____ As
previously reported, the Institute proposed an amendment to the "source-state taxation"
provisions in the Bankruptcy Amendments Act of 1994 (S. 540) designed to protect third-
party payors of retirement benefit distributions, such as mutual funds, from being required
by any state to deduct and withhold income taxes or to issue any reports with respect to
any such tax. (See Institute Memorandum to Operations Members No. 34-94, Pension
Members No. 30-94, Transfer Agent Advisory Committee No. 38-94, dated September 26,
1994). A compromise measure offered as a stand alone bill in the House (H.R. 546) has
replaced the "source-state taxation" provisions in S. 540. The Institute's proposed
amendment was not incorporated into H.R. 546. The compromise bill was approved by the
House on October 3, and referred to the Senate for concurrence. A copy is attached. While
both the House and Senate will return after the elections for a vote on GATT, they are not
expected to take up any other measures. Under H.R. 546, the first \$30,000 of pension
income received in a calendar year from the following types of plans would be exempt from
source state taxation: section 401(a) qualified plans, section 408(k) simplified employee
pensions, section 403(a) annuity plans, section 403(b) annuity contracts, individual
retirement plans, section 457 plans, section 414(d) government plans, and section
501(c)(18) trusts. Lump sum and periodic benefit payments are included in determining the
\$30,000 annual exclusion. Individual recipients appear to be given authority to allocate
pension income among states for tax purposes. The bill contains a provision stating that it
shall not be construed as having any effect on ERISA preemption of state laws. There are
various other provisions concerning, for example, allocation of pension income among
multiple recipients and cost-of-living increases in the \$30,000 exclusion. We will keep you
informed of developments. John J. Canary, Jr. Assistant Counsel - Pension Attachment