

MEMO# 30486

December 19, 2016

ICI Global Files Comment Letter on "US Person" Definition in Proposed CFTC Rules on Cross-Border Transactions

[30486] December 19, 2016 TO: ICI Members ICI Global Members
Derivatives Markets Advisory Committee
ICI Global Regulated Funds Committee
ICI Global Trading & Markets Committee
International Committee
Registered Fund CPO Advisory Committee
Securities Operations Advisory Committee
SUBJECTS:

Derivatives
International/Global

RE: ICI Global Files Comment Letter on "US Person" Definition in Proposed CFTC Rules on Cross-Border Transactions

ICI filed the attached letter to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") responding to the definition of "US person" in proposed new rules and interpretations that address the application of certain swap provisions of the Commodity Exchange Act to cross-border transactions.[1] The proposed rules specify when the CFTC would require US and non-US persons to include their cross-border swap dealing transactions or swap positions in their swap dealer or major swap participant registration threshold calculations and the extent to which swap dealers and major swap participants would be required to comply with the CFTC's business conduct standards in cross-border transactions.[2] The proposed rules also define key terms, including "US person," for cross-border transactions that, if adopted, will apply not only to the registration thresholds and external business conduct standards but to the cross-border application of other swap requirements.

Our letter focuses on the proposed rules' definition of "US Person." It recommends that the CFTC: 1) specifically exclude from the definition funds that are publicly offered only to non-US persons and not offered to US persons; and 2) exclude a test that would deem funds and other collective investment vehicles that are majority-owned by one or more US persons as US persons.

On the first recommendation, the letter highlights the nominal nexus funds that are publicly

offered only to non-US persons and not offered to US persons have to the United States and the inappropriate reach that the CFTC's proposed rules and other swap rules would have on such entities under the proposed definition. It also discusses the disadvantages that US asset managers could face with such an interpretation, such as complying with two sets of regulations and potentially being excluded from managing a portfolio's assets or from engaging in swap transactions by entities that do not want to risk incurring the costs or burdens of additional regulations. The letter, instead, recommends that the CFTC define "US person" focusing on whether an entity makes an "offering to US persons," which could provide certainty to counterparties at the outset of a swap transaction regarding which jurisdiction's rules will govern and would look at whether a fund is attempting to target the US market or US investors and should be appropriately subject to US laws.

On the second recommendation, the letter explains the structural and operational challenges to verifying the US person status of shareholders, especially with the prevalence of the omnibus account structure, in fully supporting the CFTC's determination to remove the majority US ownership test from the "US person" definition.

Jennifer S. Choi Associate General Counsel

Kenneth Fang Assistant General Counsel

<u>Attachment</u>

endnotes

[1] See Cross-Border Application of the Registration Thresholds and External Business Conduct Standards Applicable to Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants, 81 Fed. Reg. 71946 (Oct. 18, 2016), available at

https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2016-10-18/pdf/2016-24905.pdf. For a summary of the proposed rules, see ICI Memorandum 30351 (Oct. 26, 2016), available at https://www.iciglobal.org/iciglobal/pubs/memos/memo30351.

[2] In addition, the proposed rules outline whether and to what extent these thresholds and standards would apply to swap transactions that are arranged, negotiated, or executed using personnel located in the United States.

Copyright © by the Investment Company Institute. All rights reserved. Information may be abridged and therefore incomplete. Communications from the Institute do not constitute, and should not be considered a substitute for, legal advice.