MEMO# 21756

October 5, 2007

Opportunity to Discuss Enhanced Due Diligence Rule, OFAC Guidance and SAR Rule - Friday, October 19

[21756]

October 5, 2007

TO: AML COMPLIANCE WORKING GROUP No. 6-07 RE: OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS ENHANCED DUE DILIGENCE RULE, OFAC GUIDANCE AND SAR RULE - FRIDAY, OCTOBER 19

The AML Compliance Working Group will have a conference call on Friday, October 19 at 1:30 p.m. to discuss the following items:

- 1. FinCEN's recently adopted rule that requires mutual funds to implement enhanced due diligence requirements for correspondent accounts for certain foreign banks; [1]
- 2. OFAC guidance on the screening of account beneficiaries; [2] and
- 3. Mutual fund experiences with the implementation of the SAR rule.

Tom Bogle of Dechert LLP will provide counsel's perspective on the new rule and the OFAC guidance. Tom also will discuss his experience with mutual fund implementation of the SAR rule. We expect the call to last 90 minutes with substantial time available for questions from conference call participants.

To join the call on October 19, dial 1-800-619-8639 and enter passcode 49126. If you intend to participate in the call, please RSVP to Ruth Tadesse at rtadesse@ici.org before the call.

Susan Olson Senior Counsel - International Affairs

endnotes

[1] See Memorandum No. 21531, dated August 27, 2007. See also Special Due Diligence Programs for Certain Foreign Accounts, 72 Fed. Reg. 44,768 (Aug. 9, 2007) (to be codified at 31 C.F.R. pt. 103), available at http://www.fincen.gov/31 CFR Part 103 312 EDD Rule.pdf

[2] See Office of Foreign Assets Control, Frequently Asked Questions available at http://www.treas.gov/offices/enforcement/ofac/faq/answer.shtml#pmnt (Does a financial institution have the obligation to screen account beneficiaries for compliance with OFAC regulations?)

Source URL: https://icinew-stage.ici.org/memo-21756

Copyright © by the Investment Company Institute. All rights reserved. Information may be abridged and therefore incomplete. Communications from the Institute do not constitute, and should not be considered a substitute for, legal advice.