MEMO# 24062

January 7, 2010

ICI Comment Letter on FASB Proposal to Defer Adviser Consolidation of Funds Advised

[24062]

January 7, 2010

TO: ACCOUNTING/TREASURERS MEMBERS No. 2-10
MONEY MARKET FUNDS ADVISORY COMMITTEE No. 2-10 RE: ICI COMMENT LETTER ON FASB PROPOSAL TO DEFER ADVISER CONSOLIDATION OF FUNDS ADVISED

As you know, the FASB recently released an exposure draft of a proposed accounting standards update (ASU) that would defer the effective date of FAS 167 for certain investment funds. [1] FAS 167 may require an investment adviser to consolidate funds advised for financial reporting purposes under certain circumstances. The ASU would be effective for periods beginning after November 15, 2009 and for interim periods within that first annual reporting period.

The ASU would defer application of FAS 167 to an investment adviser's interest in a fund that has the attributes of an investment company as specified in the accounting standards codification or for which it is industry practice to apply financial reporting principles applicable to investment companies. The ASU would not apply in situations in which the adviser or its related parties has an explicit or implicit obligation to fund actual losses of the fund that could potentially be significant to the fund. Examples of funds that may meet the conditions for deferral include mutual funds, hedge funds, private equity funds, mortgage real estate investment funds, and venture capital funds.

The ASU also provides a deferral of FAS 167 to an investment adviser's interest in a fund

that is required to comply with, or operates in accordance with, requirements that are similar to those included in Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. The separate deferral for money market funds is not conditioned on the absence of any explicit or implicit obligation of the adviser to provide credit support to the money market fund.

The Institute recently filed the attached comment letter with the FASB. The comment letter commends the FASB for issuing the proposed deferral and strongly supports its adoption. The letter indicates that consolidation is unwarranted and would diminish the usefulness of the investment adviser's financial reporting.

The comment letter notes that the IASB is currently developing consolidation guidance and urges the FASB to work with the IASB to converge their standards. The letter recommends that the FASB consider the principal and agent model under development by the IASB. The comment letter also expresses the view that removal rights need not be held by one party in order to be deemed substantive.

Money Market Funds

The comment letter notes that some have concluded that a money market fund manager's fees represent a variable interest in the money market fund as a result of implicit or explicit guarantees to fund credit losses of the fund in situations where the net asset value declines to a value less than \$1.00 per share. Further, that any historical funding of losses by the investment adviser creates an implicit guarantee, even in situations where the investment adviser has no statutory or contractual obligation to provide future funding. Under this view, the implicit guarantee may cause the investment adviser to consolidate the money market funds it manages.

The comment letter indicates that an investment adviser to a money market fund provides no explicit or implicit guarantee of the money market fund's share price. The letter then describes a money market fund's obligation to provide prospectus disclosure describing the risk of loss. The comment letter also describes the SEC's recently proposed rule amendments designed to make money market funds more resilient to certain short-term market risks, and to provide greater protections for investors in a money market fund that is unable to maintain a stable net asset value.

The comment letter indicates that investment adviser consolidation of money market funds is unwarranted because the adviser does not provide an explicit or implicit guarantee of the fund's share price. The letter then indicates that, at a minimum, application of consolidation principles to money market funds should be deferred until the SEC's proposed amendments are finalized and implemented, so that the FASB and investment advisers can better understand their effects.

Gregory M. Smith
Director - Operations/Compliance & Fund Accounting

Attachment

endnotes

[1] See Memorandum to Accounting/Treasurers Members No. 49-09, Money Market Funds Advisory Committee No. 50-09, dated December 7, 2009 [23998].

Source URL: https://icinew-stage.ici.org/memo-24062

Copyright © by the Investment Company Institute. All rights reserved. Information may be abridged and therefore incomplete. Communications from the Institute do not constitute, and should not be considered a substitute for, legal advice.